

Alexandria Gazette

VOLUME LXVIII.

ALEXANDRIA VA. TUESDAY EVENING, JANUARY 22, 1887.

NUMBER 19.

ALEXANDRIA GAZETTE
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.
PUBLISHED DAILY BY
EDGAR SNOWDEN.
OFFICE—GAZETTE BUILDING, NO. 70, PRINCE ST.

ALEXANDRIA GAZETTE
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.
(FOR THE COUNTRY)
IS PUBLISHED, REGULARLY,
ON MONDAYS, WEDNESDAYS AND FRIDAYS,
AT 50 CENTS PER ANNUM—(PAYABLE IN ADVANCE).

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.
One square, of eight lines one dollar, for the first insertion and twenty-five cents for each subsequent one. Liberal terms made for yearly advertisers.
All communications must be accompanied by the names of the authors, and rejected communications cannot be returned.

RACE BETWEEN A LOCOMOTIVE AND A PRAIRIE FIRE.—George W. Bungray, who recently witnessed a prairie fire, writing to the *Detroit Advertiser and Tribune*, says of it:

"About seven o'clock at night, I took passage on the Dixon Air Line Railroad. The train had proceeded but a few miles from the city of Chicago when we overtook a fire on the prairie. It was a bitter night; the wind was blowing hard and the snow was falling, but the tall, stiff grass of the prairie stood high above the sheet of snow on the ground, and the flames spread with great rapidity. There was a broad margin between the track and the river of fire that swept before the wind.

The line of flame was about a half a mile in length, and now and then it made such rapid progress it seemed to keep pace with the train. It was a picturesque and grand scene between the fire steeds of the prairie and the iron horse. The former had no delays to make at stations, side tracks, and crossings; the latter had the advantage of human brains to do its thinking and pilot it. On, on, they sped through the thick and stormy night. One crackling and whispering in the wind, searing and driving away every living thing in its path; the other neighing and pressing forward with a tread which shook the ground and made the light in the nearest windows quiver like aspen leaves.

One flared its banner of flame in the sky, and tossed its torches upon the crisp air, warning of approaching danger and light in which to escape to a safe retreat; the other, with its mane of smoke and breath of sparks, and its eye in its forehead, dashed along in a meteoric shower of sparks. It was poetry in motion—ranging with the rhythm of progress, whose sound is music married to the couplet of iron, in the iron epic of an advancing age. Our locomotive gained the race at last, and we left the fiery cavalry in the distance, fighting with the wind and snow, and leaping over the creeks and ponds in its course—making bonfires of the lone haystacks that stood, like Lot's wife, within the reach of its innumerable spears of flame. Before it was borne a crimson flag that it up the heavens; behind it was a charred and blackened wake of cinders. Whether it swept away any human habitation in its path, I cannot say; but it was a most attractive sight, and so full of suggestion I have been tempted to give a brief account of it."

MARRIED LADIES' CLOTHING.—The Court of Appeals, in the case of Mary E. Hughes vs. Commonwealth, has reversed a decision of a lower court, and thus decided, substantially, that the clothing of a married woman does not belong to her, but to her husband.

About a year since a woman named Mary E. Hughes stole a lot of wearing apparel belonging to a married lady of Middlesex county. The woman was arrested, and, after examination in the lower court, carried before the Circuit Court of said county. The counsel of this woman demanded her discharge on this ground: That as she was charged with stealing clothing belonging to Mrs. —, it was illegal, as no married woman owned her clothing, it being the property of her husband. The court refused to discharge the accused, but found her guilty, and sentenced her to imprisonment in the Penitentiary for felony—thus deciding that a married woman does own her clothing. An appeal was taken, and the case brought before the court of Appeals. It was argued last term, and on yesterday the decision was given that the judgment of the lower court should be reversed, thus showing that, in the opinion of this court, the husband owns the wife's clothing.—*Richmond Times*.

ANECDOTE OF GEN. LEE.—The editor of the *Galveston Citizen* has from General Longstreet (who pronounces General Lee, "the best man in the world") the following anecdote of the great Confederate chieftain:

On one occasion General Lee called Colonel Long of his staff officers, into his tent, and commenced dictating while Colonel L. wrote. Colonel L. had in his mouth a pipe, and was smoking. The general inhaled the noxious vapor until his patience became exhausted, and then said:

"Colonel L. you can retire and send me Colonel —; he does not smoke."

Colonel L. retired, and in a few moments Colonel — entered, to whom the general commenced dictating; but after inhaling the atmosphere of the tent for awhile, he discovered it to be considerably impregnated, not with the perfume of roses, but the odious smell of villainous whiskey, which he could not stand.

"Retire, Colonel —, and send me an officer who neither drinks nor smokes; I would rather endure the smoke of tobacco than the smell of whiskey."

A PERSEVERING DETECTIVE.—We received a call yesterday from T. P. Van Trees, a secret detective of the mountains, who has just returned from a long chase after two men named Dr. James Hamilton and Eugene Hamilton, charged with the robbery of Rockwell & Co.'s bank, at Elkhorn, Wisconsin, some time since, of about \$180,000, in notes and bonds. Tom has been on their track for four or five months, and at last arrested them in old Mexico, near El Paso. He brought them through as far as Santa Fe alone, and was then furnished an escort by General Carlton. Several attempts were made to rescue the prisoners, but our brave Colorado detective was too much for them. A woman, who claimed to be the wife of one of them, made an attempt to poison Van the night before he arrived at Santa Fe, which also failed.

He arrived at Denver, Wednesday, and lodged his prisoners safe in jail. They will be taken to Wisconsin in a few days, under the attentive escort that has served them so long.—*Colorado Transcript*.

COURT NOTICES.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15, 1886, Robert I. Edelin, M. C. McCombs, Thos. M. Conner, adms. of the estate of Elias Harrison, deceased, James R. McGraw, Willis Henderson, Julia Wheatley, and Mary Wheatley, on behalf of themselves, and such other stockholders of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria as may join in and contribute to the expenses of this suit, complainants, vs. Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, William Arnold, David Appich, Ewell C. Atwell, N. W. Burchell, Maria Buchanan, administratrix of R. E. Buchanan, deceased, J. H. Devaughn, A. W. Eastlack, J. Newton Harper, Luther D. Harrison, H. W. Hardy, John Jones, Margaret Kinzer, with the will annexed of L. Louis Kinzer, Hugh Latham, J. W. Nalls, C. L. Richards, R. W. Robinson, John Summers, Stephen Swain, John L. Pascoe, Samuel Beach, D. L. Smoot, administrators of Peter Davis, deceased, James E. McGraw, George H. McKel and Robert L. Edelin, Trustees of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, defendants.

The decree of the November term, 1886, directs that the papers in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state a full and complete account of the transactions of said Building Association; the condition of the accounts between the Association and its members; the amount due on the several deeds of trust executed for the benefit of the said Association; its amounts due from the said Association to its members, and the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks, the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested, &c.

Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February, 1887, at my office in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

jan 2-law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, November term, 1886, William E. Moore vs. S. G. Miller.—In chancery.

The Court doth adjudge, order and decree, that M. D. Ball, one of the Master Commissioners of the Court, do take an account of the lines upon the lands of the defendant in the bill and proceedings mentioned, how due, and their respective priorities, together with all debts due from said defendant and to whom, and that said Commissioner do ascertain the annual and fee-simple value of the land in dispute, and report thereon to the next term of this Court, with any matter he may deem pertinent, or which may be required to be specially stated. In taking the said account the Commissioner is authorized to give notice of the time and place of taking such account in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, which shall be regarded as equivalent to personal service upon all the parties interested. Extract—Teste.

W. B. GOODING, Clerk.

Parties interested in the above decree are hereby notified that I will proceed to execute its provisions, at my office at Fairfax C. H., on WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February next.

M. DULANY BALL,
Comm'r. in Chancery.

jan 16-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria county, on the 7th day of January, 1887, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, copartners in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Fulman, complainants, against David P. Bodish, Jr., Martin S. Sheldon and A. Grant Childs, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants. In chancery and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000; and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due and belonging to the said Bodish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. jan 15-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria county, on the 7th day of January, 1887, James M. Wallace, complainant, against Solomon Stover, Charles M. Price and William P. Kephart, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Solomon Stover, the sum of \$2,000, with interest from the 3rd of June, 1881, and to subject his interest in certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, Va., situated on the north side, intersected by Duke and Payne streets, and known as the Jail property, to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Solomon Stover and Wm. P. Kephart, not having entered their appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

John M. Orr, P. Q. jan 15-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria county, on the 7th day of January, 1887, Henry Studds and Daniel Pulman, copartners in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Fulman, complainants, against David P. Bodish, Jr., Martin S. Sheldon and A. Grant Childs, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000; and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due and belonging to the said Bodish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. jan 15-law4w

FAIRFAX COUNTY COURT. DECEMBER COURT, 1886.

It being represented to the Court that so much of the Alexandria and Leesburg Turnpike as lies in the county of Fairfax has been abandoned by the company, it is ordered that notice be published for four successive weeks in some convenient newspaper, and also be posted at the Court House door of this county, on some Court day, of the intention of the Court to take charge of said road as a county road. A copy—Teste.

F. D. RICHARDSON, Clerk.

COURT NOTICES.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 17, 1886, Alvina V. Maxwell, complainant, vs. George W. Maxwell, Walter T. Gillingham and Ella C. Gillingham, his wife; Benjamin Chase and Mary A. Chase, his wife; Franklin Maxwell, Carroll Maxwell, Richard L. Maxwell, William Maxwell, Agnes Maxwell, Alice Maxwell, Catharine Maxwell, and Albert Stuart, defendants.

The decree in the above cause directs that one of the Masters in Chancery of the Court, do ascertain and report—

1st. The indebtedness of the estate of George W. Maxwell at his death.

2d. The value of his personal assets, which have or are yet to come into the hands of his executrix.

3d. The real estate of which he died seized, and its value.

4th. The liens on said real estate, their amount and respective priorities.

The said decree further directs that the said Master shall give notice, by publication, in one of the newspapers published in Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, of the time and place, when and where he shall proceed to execute the said decree; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

COMMRS. OFFICE, JAN. 4, 1887.

The parties interested in the decree, of which the foregoing is an abstract, are notified that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 4th day of March, 1887, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.

jan 5-law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15, 1886, "The Potomac Building Fund Association of Alexandria," complainant, vs. Gilbert S. Minor, J. C. Taylor, George W. Brown, J. Lewis E. Brown, Woodrow M. Edelin, M. C. McCombs, J. H. Devaughn, J. O. Sullivan, John L. Pascoe, C. Noland, John Arnold, George W. Davis, John T. Hill, William B. Nalls, John Summers, C. B. Shirley, Margaret Kafferty and John Lally, defendants.

The decree of the November term, 1886, directs that the papers in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state a full and complete account of the transactions of said Association and its members; the amounts due from the said Association to its members, and the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested, &c.

COMMRS. OFFICE, JAN. 2, 1887.

Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon FRIDAY, the 22d day of February, 1887, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Ct. Alex. Co.

jan 2-law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15th, 1886, George Washington, complainant, vs. Catherine Burley, Administratrix of James Burley, dec'd., and Alice and Maria Burley, infants, dec'ds.

It is ordered and decreed that W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, do state and settle the account of the defendant, C. Burley, Administratrix of James Burley, dec'd., and that he do ascertain the fee-simple and annual value of the real estate of which said James Burley, dec'd., died seized; the judgment against the decedent and their priorities, and the creditors of the estate, with instructions to said Master to publish for four successive weeks, in some newspaper published in Alexandria, the objects of the reference, which publication shall be equivalent to personal service of notice upon the parties interested.

COMMRS. OFFICE, JAN. 2, 1887.

The parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, will take notice that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February, 1887, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Court, Alex. Co.

jan 3-law4w

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 23d, 1886, E. C. Fitzhugh, administrator of M. C. Fitzhugh, dec'd., complainant, vs. M. A. Peabry and William Shreve, defendants.

And the Court doth further order and decree that one of the Commissioners of this Court do ascertain whether there are any liens, and if so their amount and priorities, and before taking such account he shall give notice of the time and place of taking the same, by publication, in some convenient newspaper, for four weeks, which publication shall be equivalent to personal notice.

COMMRS. OFFICE, JAN. 2, 1887.

Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 25th day of February, 1887, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,
Master in Chancery of the Circuit Court, Alex. Co.

jan 3-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Charles F. M. Johnston, plaintiff, against Fritz Bender, defendant.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$225.00, with interest from the 1st day of January, 1885, till paid, due by the defendant to the plaintiff.

The defendant, F. Bender, not having entered his appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.

The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, Va., of which the said Alexander Bowden at the time of his decease, to the said plaintiffs. The said real estate lies on the south side of Queen streets, between Alfred and Patrick streets, fronting twenty feet on Queen street, and running back one hundred feet to a ten feet alley.

The defendants not having appeared and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are non residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Smoot & Lowe, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.

The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, belonging to the defendant, to the payment of a judgment by them obtained against the said defendant in the County Court for Alexandria county, at the April term, 1886, for \$200, with interest from November 28th, 1884, till paid, and the costs, \$7.46.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Smoot & Lowe, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

COURT NOTICES.

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, John P. Clarke, plaintiff, against Joseph Partridge, James H. Pinchot and James S. Warren, part, partners, trading under the firm name of Partridge, Pinchot & Warren, defendants.—In case.

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants, damages to the amount of \$300, at the least, with interest thereon from the 8th day of July, 1884, till paid, due by the said defendants to the said plaintiff.

The defendants not having entered their appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Samuel F. Gregory and Joseph Paul, joint partners, trading under the firm name and style of Gregory & Paul, plaintiffs, against George E. White, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$152.44, with interest from the 27th day of October, 1886, till paid, due to the said plaintiff, by the said defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Edmund Hunt, plaintiff, against George E. White, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendant the sum of \$100, with interest from November 1st, 1886, till paid, due from the defendant to the plaintiff.

The defendant, George E. White, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Edmund Hunt, plaintiff, against George E. White, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendant the sum of \$325, with interest on the 15th of July, 1886, till paid, due to the plaintiff by the defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Robert W. Hunter, Joseph Hunter and Wilkinson Hunter, late copartners, trading under the firm name of Joseph & W. Hunter, and Joseph H. Hunter, defendants.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$300, with interest from the 25th day of September, 1881, till paid, and costs of protest \$2.00, due by the defendants to the plaintiff. The defendant, Robert W. Hunter, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Robert W. Hunter, Joseph Hunter and Wilkinson Hunter, late copartners, trading under the firm name of Joseph & W. Hunter, and Joseph H. Hunter, defendants.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$325, with interest on the 15th of July, 1886, till paid, due to the plaintiff by the defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Robert W. Hunter, Joseph Hunter and Wilkinson Hunter, late copartners, trading under the firm name of Joseph & W. Hunter, and Joseph H. Hunter, defendants.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$300, with interest from the 25th day of September, 1881, till paid, and costs of protest \$2.00, due by the defendants to the plaintiff. The defendant, Robert W. Hunter, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w

VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria county, on the 31st day of December, 1886, Robert W. Hunter, Joseph Hunter and Wilkinson Hunter, late copartners, trading under the firm name of Joseph & W. Hunter, and Joseph H. Hunter, defendants.

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$325, with interest on the 15th of July, 1886, till paid, due to the plaintiff by the defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this county. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. jan 5-law4w